1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION	
3		
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
5	Plaintiff	, Case No. 5:18CR5 Akron, Ohio
6	VS.	Wednesday, September 26, 2018
7	ERIC W. BEARD,	
	Defendant.	
8		
9	EXCERPT OF TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN R. ADAMS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
10		
11		
12	APPEARANCES:	
13	For the Government:	
14		Office of the U.S. Attorney - Cleveland Carl B. Stokes U.S. Courthouse
15		801 Superior Avenue, West, Suite 400 Cleveland, Ohio 44113
16		(216) 622–3600
17	For the Defendant:	David C. Jack Attorney at Law 145 Akron Road
18		Wadsworth, Ohio 44281
19		(330) 336–4455
20	Court Reporter:	Caroline Mahnke, RMR, CRR, CRC Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse 2 South Main Street, Suite 568
21		Akron, Ohio 44308
22		(330) 252-6021
23		
24		by mechanical stenography; transcript
25	produced by computer	-aided transcription.

2.2

* * * * *

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.

For the record, I would note I have carefully reviewed the matter. I have gone over all the various documents as I previously indicated, reviewed them all. I've spoken with the probation officer. She and I both have gone over the matter, and obviously the case is deeply troubling, as all of these cases are.

On December 18 -- when I turn to the various factors
I'm required to consider, the nature and circumstances of
the offense is where we're required to begin. We begin that
on December 18, 2017, a complaint was lodged that defendant,
Eric Beard, had taken inappropriate pictures or had
inappropriate pictures of his step-daughter.

Mr. Beard admitted he had taken the inappropriate photographs of his three-year-old step-daughter, Jaylyn. Photographs are of the victim's bottom half.

Mr. Beard explained that he engaged in a sexual conversation with a female identified as Abi Smith. Abi would send him nude photographs of herself. Mr. Beard, in exchange, would send photographs of himself and/or the victim.

Mr. Beard reported taking three photographs of the victim's nude bottom half the morning of December 18, 2017. He then sent those images to Abi Smith, had conversations

with her.

2.2

During one conversation sent by Mr. Beard, he states, "Lick her pussy, her ass and pussy," to which Beard explained he was referring to the minor victim.

Beard denied touching J.R. and stated that he sent the message trying to arose Abi Smith so that she would send him a photograph.

Abi replied asking Mr. Beard if he had done this in the past, and he had stated yes.

Mr. Beard stated the images of the minor victim were not for his own sexual gratification but they were used as a bargaining tool to receive nude photographs from Abi that he would then use in his own sexual activities.

On December 19, 2017, the victim, the minor victim, was interviewed by an individual from the Wayne County Child Advocacy Center. During the interview, the minor victim referred to Mr. Beard as daddy.

The minor victim also underwent a physical examination during which she disclosed that her daddy had licked her on private areas. The victim also stated that her daddy put his finger in her butt in the front and the back.

I'm sorry for being graphic, but those are the facts and they are what the Court must consider in deciding a sentence. And it's graphic. It's unfortunate. But those are the facts.

And

1 On December 21, 2018, a search of Mr. Beard's LG cell 2 phone revealed approximately 22 images depicting child 3 pornography involving the minor child referenced above. the greater details, or more complete details, of the 4 5 offense are outlined in paragraphs 4 through 12 of the 6 presentence report.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The history of the defendant and the characteristics of the defendant, his prior record, violence, physical abuse, diminished capacity, employment, age, substance abuse and family ties.

The defendant is 26 years old with one juvenile adjudication for attempt -- arson and attempt safe cracking and breaking and entering.

He also has two adult convictions which are certainly much lesser important driving under suspension convictions.

There is no history of violence in the defendant's past. He does report suffering from emotional abuse and describes some sexual abuse.

He started counseling as a result of the instant offense, although he has some history of counseling based on the information and records that I've seen.

He does report being depressed, suffering from mood swings, and that's more likely than not based on, unfortunately, his current situation.

Mr. Beard is in fair physical health although he

suffered a slight heart attack and is in need of dentures.

He obtained his GED in 2010, has some other certifications.

2.2

In 2017 he was employed for three months as a painter for Stahl in Wooster, Ohio.

He does have a work history primarily as a machinist according to the PSI. I've gone through it. He has had some employment. It appears that he has had numerous jobs. I'm not sure why he's not been able to maintain those jobs. But he has worked, to his credit.

He has never been married, has no biological children. It appears that he was a father figure for this child, for Jaylyn, for the past several years during the time that he lived with the child's mother.

In terms of sentencing disparities, there may be some in this case based upon the recommended sentence and the circumstances here.

In terms of the need for the sentence imposed, the statute speaks to just punishment, adequate deterrence, protect the public, reflect the seriousness of the offense, improve the offender's conduct and condition.

The Court would note that I have considered the arguments of counsel. He has done a fine job of arguing and advocating on behalf of his client, and that's difficult to do in these difficult cases, to say the least.

I'll acknowledge his constitutional argument. It's not well-taken, I don't believe, unfortunately. I know he

2.2

The guidelines have been upheld and there is — obviously Congress took the matter to heart, the issues related in the guidelines and disagreements among jurists about whether the guidelines are too harsh as it relates to these offenders.

is preserving it for the record and for purposes of appeal.

And to that end, Congress received a report from the United States Sentencing Commission back in 2012 which covers these areas. I know there is some debate among jurists about the guidelines and/or about the type of sentences that are imposed for these kinds of cases.

But this case, unfortunately, is distinct from many in that we have a defendant, sadly, who does have a limited criminal history. But unfortunately, these cases, when they involve hands-on taking photographs of little children, placing them on the internet, certainly is deeply troubling. And that's why Congress has put the mandatory minimum in place.

But this case is exacerbated by the fact that, we'll call it -- I'll use the term, I don't like using it, but it is a case involving an actual hands-on offense, meaning actual touching, actual physical activity with the minor child. And that, again, places the case in a much different

category than others.

2.2

And the abuse -- that's all it is. It's the abuse of this little girl -- is deeply troubling. It indicates someone who clearly does have issues, serious issues, which the defendant acknowledges.

And so it's very sad. He was involved with this child for two years. She obviously had some affinity for him.

And so, very sad.

And, unfortunately, the defendant is a threat to minor children. You don't take pictures of little children. You don't share them with others on the internet. You don't do that if you don't have an interest in this type of activity, or sexual interest in this type of activity.

It's like someone -- I've heard the analogy -- someone who collects baseball cards and baseball autographs and goes to baseball games and collects uniforms and hats, or whatever you want to call it, and then say, "Really, I'm not interested in baseball."

Well, you're interested in baseball. Otherwise -- or you're interested in children. Otherwise you wouldn't be engaging in this activity and taking these pictures and sharing them with someone else.

That's unfortunate.

I'll follow the recommendation of the probation officer. The guideline -- and she and I have discussed it.

Again, these cases are so difficult. The guidelines is 360 months. That is what technically is what the guidelines will be.

The defendant, at least in some respects, deserves some consideration. I think that sentence would be excessive or greater than is necessary in this instance, perhaps, given his young age at 26. 30 years would place him — at that point he would be 56, of course less time, credit for time served and less good time, credit for good time, as we call it, and things of that nature.

So I will do the following:

2.2

Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, 18

United States Code 3553(a), it will be the judgment of the

Court that the defendant, Eric Beard, is hereby committed to

the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a

term of 240 months. And the Government may very well appeal

that sentence. That's 20 years, but that's well below the

guidelines.

I varied downward based upon the age of the defendant. Also based upon the fact that -- again, I don't know that he was completely honest and candid. He did make some efforts, however, make statements to law enforcement, what have you.

And, again, that sentence in and of itself may be one the Government wishes to appeal, and I would understand that, just based on the conduct.

1 The defendant will be placed on supervised release for 2 a term of life. I believe that term is necessary to protect 3 the public, given his admitted problems and issues. cried out for treatment. 4 5 A term of life supervised release will ensure that if 6 indeed he does have any other -- is tempted or does engage in any other conduct of this type, that he will once again 8 face another heavy sanction. Hopefully he will learn that. 9 And, again, that is an extensive period of time, 10 greater than ten years, certainly, again, but I believe, 11 based upon the conduct, the hands-on conduct here, that type 12 of supervised release is indeed necessary to ensure the 13 defendant does not commit any type of offense that's similar 14 to this. 15 When you're released from prison, you'll be, as I've 16 indicated, placed on supervised release for the time I've 17 outlined. You'll be required to report in person to the 18 district in which you're released. 19 I'll waive the fine. 20 Special assessment of \$100 is due immediately. 21 Restitution, do we not have the \$5,000 at issue here? 2.2 There should be a \$5,000 special MS. SKUTNIK: 23 assessment, Your Honor.

24

25

Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm looking for it.

MS. SKUTNIK: It does fall within the time frame

2.2

of the statute.

THE COURT: I believe by statute there is a \$5,000 supervised release based upon the nature of this offense -- I'm sorry, \$5,000 special assessment the Court will impose.

And the defendant will pay it at no less than 25 percent of his gross monthly income while he's incarcerated. Hopefully he will have a job and be able to pay restitution.

All the mandatory conditions I will put in place, sir, in the PSI. The standard conditions of probation will be put in place -- of supervised release, I should say.

You'll be required to undergo drug testing, drug treatment. You have some issues with drugs as reflected in the PSI.

And you will participate in any program of substance abuse testing, treatment, inpatient, outpatient, as supervised by your probation officer.

You will undergo a mental health evaluation, participate in a mental health treatment program, follow the rules and regulations of that program.

And your probation officer will supervise you with regard to that treatment.

You must submit your computer, other electronic communication devices, to a search.

There will be no internet access without permission of

your probation officer, permission in advance.

2.2

You'll participate in a sex offense specific assessment.

And you will -- you're required to register with the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act under federal law, comply with the requirements of that act as directed by your probation officer.

And pursuant to the Adam Walsh Child Protection Act, you'll keep your registration current in each jurisdiction in which you reside, are employed, or are a student.

You must, no later than three business days after each change in name, residence, or employment or student status appear in person in at least one jurisdiction in which you're registered and inform that jurisdiction of any changes in reporting information.

Failure to do so may be a violation of your condition of release and you may be -- it will be. I'm sorry. It should be or may be a new federal offense punishable by up to ten years. So that registration is extremely important.

You cannot communicate or otherwise interact with the victim in this case, Jaylyn, either directly or through someone else, in any way, shape, or form. And that begins now.

You're going off to the Bureau of Prisons, and I expect that there is not going to be any contact between

you.

2.2

Hopefully the mother of Jaylyn understands that. She's in the courtroom. I can't prohibit her from contacting you, but if in fact there is any such contact that involves that child in any way, shape, or form, rest assured there will be a consequence.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The harm done to this victim, I've already said it, and I've said it, and it's obvious. And so you should not in no way, shape, or form. No apologies. Nothing.

That child should go on. Hopefully she'll get counseling and hopefully she will go on with her life. Hopefully she will not know or learn about this. But I can't control that.

You will participate in a sex offense specific treatment program. You'll follow the rules and regulations of that program.

Your probation officer will help you participate -- supervise your participation.

And you may not seek, obtain, maintain any residence, employment, volunteer work, church, recreational activities involving minors, persons under the age of 18, in any way without the express written approval of your probation

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

officer.

Those things will go into your written order. And I know you'll be serving a lengthy sentence, but you'll be reminded of those things at a point in time when you are approaching your release.

Under U.S. versus Bostic, any objections?

I'll note the government's objections to the Court's I have varied down substantially. So the sentence. government certainly has a right to appeal. I would understand if they do. I'll note their objection for the record.

Any other objections, though, as to arguments, counsel for the Government?

MS. SKUTNIK: Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Jack, under Bostic?

MR. JACK: None relating to Bostic.

Judge, would you recommend Milan, Michigan? I'm not sure he qualifies for that facility, but.

THE COURT: I'll make that recommendation, but again, I think -- he will not qualify for treatment until later on during his incarceration, the way the BOP works. But I'll make the recommendation for him.

Mr. Beard, I will give you your appellate rights, but as I will tell you now, I varied substantially. There is

1	more likely no basis for an appeal from the Court's		
2	sentence. But that's a matter you can take up with Mr.		
3	Jack. You may have a constitutional argument you might wish		
4	to make or some other argument.		
5	Any notice of appeal must be filed no later than 14		
6	days after I issue an order setting forth your sentence in		
7	the matter.		
8	And Mr. Jack will represent you for that appeal if		
9	need be. I'll appoint him for that purpose if you and he		
LO	would like to have an appeal filed.		
11	Do you understand that?		
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.		
13	THE COURT: Has the BOP provided you with		
L 4	dentures as of yet?		
15	THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.		
16	THE COURT: I'll order, to the best of my		
L7	ability, I'll order that you receive dentures. That's		
18	appropriate. You're 26 years old.		
L 9	And if I have the authority, we will see if we can do		
20	something to help you in that regard.		
21	All right. Anything else?		
22	Thank you very much. That will be the Court's order.		
23	MR. JACK: Thank you.		
24	MS. SKUTNIK: Thank you, Your Honor.		
2.5	(Proceedings concluded at 12:05 p.m.)		

CERTIFICATE I certify that the forgoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 7/7/2020 S/Caroline Mahnke Caroline Mahnke, RMR, CRR, CRC Date